CSCI 262
Data Structures

9 – Recursion, part 2

CS@Mines

Problem Solving with Recursion

THINKING RECURSIVELY

CS@Mines

Recursive Decomposition

- Recursion works well when:
  - Problem can be written in terms of smaller sub-problems
  - Sub-problems have the *same structure* as original
  - Solving all sub-problems solves original problem
- Examples (from previous lectures)
  - Linked list viewed as simply a single node containing a pointer to a smaller linked list
  - Palindrome test written as: "check outer two characters, then test for smaller palindrome"

CS@Mines

Recursion as Induction

The basic form of recursion follows that of induction:

- Recursive base case(s) == inductive base case(s)
  - If we apply our function to problem of size 1, then we get the correct answer
  - E.g., if a string is size 1 or 0, then it is a palindrome
- Recursive step == inductive step
  - If we are correct on problem of size n, then we are correct on a problem of size n + 1
  - Palindromes are a bit tricky here, because we actually prove 2 cases, one for odd numbers and one for evens:
    - If our program works for strings of n letters, then prove it works for strings of n + 2 letters

CS@Mines

Example: The Towers of Hanoi

Object: move all disks from left spindle to middle spindle.
Rules:
1. Move only 1 disk at a time.
2. A disk can only be moved onto an empty spindle or a larger disk.

CS@Mines

Ways of Thinking About Recursion

Top down or bottom up:

- Top down: think of the whole problem and how it can be decomposed.
  - If I could solve a smaller problem *of the same form*, could I solve the whole problem?
- Bottom up: what is the smallest problem I *can* solve?
  - If I can solve that, would it help me solve larger problems?

CS@Mines

Top Down



Consider this arrangement:

- If we could move the right stack onto the green disk, we'd be done.
- This is a *smaller problem* of the original form:
  - The stack to be moved has one fewer than the original.
  - Although the middle spindle is occupied, every disk to be moved is smaller than the green disk – so no problem!

CS@Mines

Top Down



Does this help us?
If we can get to this partial solution, it does…

CS@Mines

Top Down



Well, we can, in 2 steps:

1. Move the smaller stack to the right spindle, leaving the green disk in place (hey, that's *another* instance of the same problem!)
2. Move the green disk to the center. Moving 1 is easy – that must be the base case!

CS@Mines

Pseudo-code

```
// count is # to move
// a is "from" tower
// b is "to" tower
// c is the spare tower
moveTower (count, a, b, c):
  if count = 1 then
    just move it!
  else
    moveTower(count – 1, a, c, b)
    moveTower(1, a, b, c)
    moveTower(count – 1, c, b, from)
```

CS@Mines

See it in Action

http://towersofhanoi.info/Animate.aspx

(You can find many others online, I just liked this one.)

CS@Mines

Bottom Up



OK, moving 1 disk is easy.
Can I move 2 disks?

CS@Mines

Bottom Up



Yes, in three moves:
1. Move the top disk to the third spindle.
2. Move the bottom disk to the middle (final) spindle.
3. Move the top disk to the middle spindle.

CS@Mines

Bottom Up



If I have *3* disks, I need to:
1. Move the top *2* to the rightmost spindle
2. Move the bottom disk
3. Move the top 2 back

Generalize to n disks!

CS@Mines

Example: Permutations

- Problem: find all permutations of an ordered set
  - E.g., what are all permutations of (a, b, c)?
    - Answer: (a,b,c), (a,c,b),(b,a,c),(b,c,a),(c,a,b),(c,b,a)
  - What about (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,…)?
    - Ugh. Let the computer do it.
    - OK… how?

CS@Mines

You Try: Permutations

- What is the recursive decomposition?
  - E.g., what is a smaller problem than (a,b,c)?
- What is the base case?

CS@Mines

Trying everything

BACKTRACKING

CS@Mines

Maze Solving

Consider solving a maze:
- Assume potential loops, so right-hand rule fails
- Instead, have string and a marker
  - Mark where you've been, so you don't loop
  - Unroll string behind you so you can back up
  - Pick a passage, follow as far as you can until dead-ending or repeating yourself
  - Back-up to the last branching and try one you haven't tried (or back up further if no choices left)

CS@Mines

Backtracking

- The maze solving algorithm above is an example of *backtracking*
- Essentially, try every possibility in a branching problem, avoiding repeats
- This sort of has the recursive sub-structure:
  - The problem is only made smaller by a little bit
  - We have to remember choices (or do we?)

CS@Mines

Maze Solving Pseudocode

```
solve_2d_maze(maze, x,  y):
    if at exit, yay!
    else:
        mark maze[x][y] as visited
        if can go right:
            solve_2d_maze(maze, x+1, y)
        if can go down:
            solve_2d_maze(maze, x, y+1)
        etc.
```

CS@Mines

Winning!

MINIMAX

CS@Mines

Backtracking for Games

- For 2-player perfect information games
- Like trying every possibility, but:
  - Assume each player is trying to win ☺
  - Each player has a different goal, so have to switch objective between moves
- Classic algorithm is called *minimax*

CS@Mines

Example: Nim

- The game:
  - Put *n* tokens on the table
  - Each player gets to take 1, 2, or 3 tokens each turn
  - Player who takes the last token loses
- Work backwards from base case:
  - If 1 coin left for other player, you win
  - Thus, if 2-4 coins left for you, you can force win
  - However, if 5 coins left for you, you lose, because any move you make leaves a good move for opponent…

CS@Mines

Solving Nim Recursively

```
find_good_move(ncoins):
  for j = 1 to min(3, ncoins):                  // try possible moves
    // try for win in one move
    if ncoins – j == 1:                         // base case: WIN ☺
      return j

    // next, see if opponent must lose if I make this move
    if find_good_move(ncoins – j) == NO_GOOD:
      return j

    // I tried everything, no luck: I must lose
    return NO_GOOD                              // base case: LOSE ☹
```

CS@Mines

Up Next

- Friday, February 8
  - Lab 5 - TBD
  - Project 2 due
  - ~~APT 3 assigned~~
- Week of February 11: Interview Grading

CS@Mines